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Context

- **SOA – Service Oriented Architectures**
  - Loosely coupled heterogeneous services and providers
  - Providers also act as consumers → prosumers
  - Dynamic nature of SOA
- **SLA – Service Level Agreements**
  - Contracts established between consumers and providers
  - Necessity to check the compliance at runtime
  - Necessity to monitor the required metrics at runtime
Problem

- Dynamic nature of applications
  - Relationships change → Monitor other services
  - SLA terms change → Different metrics are required
  - Different services require different metrics
Problem

- We cannot foresee all the monitoring requirements
  - Need for a flexible approach
  - Monitor what you need to monitor
  - Check the conditions you need to check
How to handle flexibility?

- Components meet services: SCA
  - Service Component Architecture
  - Industry supported + technologically agnostic specification
  - Allows to handle dynamicity, complexity, heterogeneity
  - Monitoring and management are a task of the platform
Need for adaptation

- SLAs can be established at multiple levels
  - Different SLAs require to check different metrics
  - SLAs can change at runtime
- Reconfigurations can take place at different levels
  - Different services allows different actions
The evolution of SLAs and metrics cannot be completely foreseen at design time:

- It is not feasible to design a monitoring system where all the metrics and conditions are ready to be monitored.
- A flexible system where the required metrics and conditions can be inserted or removed would be beneficial.

A component-based approach can provide this level of flexibility.
Proposal

- Monitoring and management framework
  - Component-based design
  - Metrics and conditions can be introduced/removed at runtime
  - Different metrics can be taken at different services
  - Minimize intrusion
  - Separation of concerns

- Objective: adapt at runtime to monitoring and management requirements of the application
  - Provide a common ground for monitoring and actions
  - Connected to the management features of each service
Big Picture

- Componentized MAPE autonomic control loop
  - Monitoring, Analysis, Planning, Execution
  - Set of “non-functional” components attached to each “business component”
  - Component turns into a “managed component”
Big Picture

- Each component in charge of a step
  - Implementation can vary according to the application
  - Ability to adapt the framework at runtime
  - Not all components require all the MAPE steps
Monitoring

- Monitoring layer distributed along the application
- Monitoring components interact through monitoring interfaces
- Monitoring components are interconnected to form a monitoring layer
- Each monitoring component can be adapted to monitor the metrics required from each component
SLA Analysis

- SLA Analyzers check compliance to SLA
  - SLA expressed as a set of Service Level Objectives (SLO)
  - SLOs require metrics from the Monitoring Component
  - SLA Analyzer subscribes to the Monitoring Component for the metrics it needs
  - Upon a violation of the SLO, a notification is sent
Decision

- Strategy to implement upon a violation
  - Decision component requires metrics from the Monitoring component
  - Implement and strategy oriented to return the system to a desired objective state
  - Output is a sequence of actions to modify the system
Execution

- Executes actions over the system
  - Must be able to execute actions over the system, in the way provided by the service or middleware
  - Execution may also involve actions over other components
  - Execution components are interconnected to propagate their actions
Implementation

- Implemented over the GCM/ProActive middleware
  - ProActive Grid middleware.
    - Java middleware for parallel and distributed computing
    - Active Object programming model with asynchronous communication
  - GCM: Grid Component Model
    - Component model for grid applications, based on Fractal
    - Support for collective communications
    - Large scale deployment through desktop/grid/cloud
  - GCM/ProActive middleware as an SCA compatible platform

http://proactive.inria.fr
Framework Implementation

- Implemented as a set of NF components
  - NF components are weaved in the membrane of GCM/SCA components
  - Access to the framework features through NF interfaces
  - Separation of concerns between functional and NF content
Monitoring Component

- Collects, stores, and computes metrics
  - Event Listener receives events from the ProActive/GCM middleware
  - Record Store keeps records of local events
  - Metrics Store stores and computes the metrics available for the monitored component
  - Interface allows to add/remove and retrieve values
SLA Monitor

- Provides SLA storage and checking
  - SLO Store stores conditions in a simple form:
    - \(<\text{metricName}, \text{condition}, \text{threshold}>\)
  - SLA Managers subscribe to required metrics and checks the SLOs.
    - Triggers an alarm if an SLO is not achieved
Decision component

- **Strategy associated to a faulting SLO**
  - Strategy oriented to take the system to an objective state.
  - May include: architectural rebindings, parameters modifications, service selection, service migration, service replacing, ...
  - Examples:
    - Replace the slowest component (provided there is another).
    - Migrate the component to a less expensive infrastructure
    - Select a more energy-efficient service
  - Queries the Monitoring Component to obtain the required input for the strategy
  - The component-based approach allows to host several strategies, or replace them at runtime
Execution component

- Executes a set of actions over the components
  - Set of actions must be described in a defined language
    - We have used PAGCMScript, a DSL extending FScript
  - List of actions can involve executing actions on other components
  - Examples:
    - unbind($manager/interface::"weather");
    - bind($manager/interface::"weather", $weather2/interface::"service");
    - gcm-migrate($manager, $ec2-node);
  - Execution component must be able to execute actions over what is provided by the runtime support
Generalization

- Framework expressed in terms of SCA model
  - GCM NF Components are a set of SCA Components automatically added to the design.
  - Separation between business code, from monitoring and management concerns.
  - The wrapping of the target services is performed by the framework.
Future Work

- Experimentation plans
  - Experiment with different planning strategies
  - Experiment with more complex compositions
  - Deeper evaluation of performance

- Validation with other implementations

- Extend SLA Analysis to predictive checkings
Conclusions

- Generic and flexible monitoring and management framework
  - Component based solution
  - Separation of concerns between business and monitoring and management tasks
  - Metrics, SLOs, strategies, can be added or removed at runtime
  - Adaptable to the monitoring requirements of the application
    - Limited by the information provided by the platform
  - Bring the monitoring and management tasks over heterogenous services to a common ground
  - Implemented over an SCA compatible platform
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